UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,520	10/31/2005	Hans Loibner	4518-0108PUS1	3426
	7590 03/26/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/4 22040 0747	DUFFY, BRADLEY		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1643		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/524,520	LOIBNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRADLEY DUFFY	1643	

	BRADLET DOFFT	1043					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat						
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	,		ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. 📙 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-10,12-15,17-22,25-27,29,32,34-4</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	3 and 46-48.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.	, 1 - \						
	/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1643					
	-						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment, if entered, would require further consideration and/or search and may contain new matter, because, for example, claim 1 would be amended to add the new limitation "native antibody". For example, in addition to requiring a new search, if entered, this amendment would appear to raise new issues under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph since a native antibody in the art is generally considered a naturally-occurring antibody; yet according to claims 46 and 47 as would be amended, this antibody may be a recombinant chimeric or humanized antibody, so the metes and bounds of "native antibodies cannot be unambiguously identified and the amendment may contain new matter as it does not appear that the specification discloses humanized and chimeric antibodies as "native antibodies".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration is predicated upon entry of the proposed amendment, and as the amendment has not been entered, Applicant's request is presently moot.